

REMARKS

This Amendment is submitted simultaneously with filing of the application. With the present Amendment most probably applicant has canceled the original claim 1 and submitted a new claim 16 which is the broadest claim on file. The retained dependent claims have been amended to depend on this claim.

15
* Claim 16, the broadest claim currently on file, specifically defines that the decorative part and the indicating device are different structural elements which are independent from one another. Also, this claim specifically defines that the indicating device is arranged in a throughgoing opening of the wall of the device, or in other words the opening which extends from the outer surface to the inner surface of the wall of the device, while the decorative part is arranged in a depression which just is offset relative to the outer surface of the wall and provided in the edge region which surrounds the throughgoing opening. The support for these features can be found in the second paragraph of page 7, on the first paragraph of page 8 as well as in Figure 3 and other parts of the application.

Turning now to the references and in particular to the patent to Oliwa, it can be seen that this reference discloses a device with an outer

surface 11 provided with a depression 20. A display module with the indicating device and decorative part is insertable in the depression 20.

In contrast, in accordance with the applicant's invention the wall of the device is provided with a throughgoing opening in which the indicating device is received. Such a throughgoing opening is not disclosed in the patent to Oliwa. The throughgoing opening in the device surface 11 of the reference shown in Figure 3 is designed for receiving a magnet 56 for mounting the display module in the depression 20 of the device outer surface 11.

In accordance with applicant's invention, the indicating device and the decorative part as well as cooperating structure are formed completely different. The throughgoing opening is formed for receiving the indicating device, while in contrast the edge region which surrounds the throughgoing opening is provided with a depression which serves for receiving the decorative part. These features are not disclosed in the patent to Oliwa and can not be derived from it as a matter of obviousness.

A person skilled in the art with the teaching of the reference in front of him must remove the indicating device from the display module 12 and instead of the magnets 56 arrange it in the throughgoing opening of the

device outer surface 11 so that a decorative part remains in the depression 20 of the device outer surface 11. However, no hint or suggestion are provided in the reference for these features. In order to arrive at the applicant's invention from this reference the reference has to be fundamentally modified by including into it such features which are not disclosed in the references, can not be considered as obvious from the references, and therefore can not be derived from the reference as a matter of obviousness.

In view of the above presented remarks and amendments, it is believed that claim 16, the broadest claim on file, should be considered as patentably distinguishing over the art and should be allowed.

As for the retained dependent claims, these claims depend on claim 16, they share its presumably allowable features and therefore it is respectfully submitted that these claims should be allowed as well.

Reconsideration and allowance of present application is most respectfully requested.

Should the Examiner require or consider it advisable that the specification, claims and/or drawings be further amended or corrected in

A

1. The first step is to identify the problem or goal. This involves understanding the current situation, identifying the key stakeholders, and determining the desired outcome.

Michael J. Striker
 Attorney for Applicant

A